

Complex Plateau problem in non Kähler manifolds

S. Ivashkovich

December 1997

In this paper we consider a complex Plateau problem for strongly pseudoconvex contours in non Kähler manifolds. We give the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of solution in the class of manifolds carrying pluriclosed metric forms and propose a conjecture for the general case.

0. Introduction.

Recall that a complex Plateau problem for a compact real submanifold M of a complex manifold X consists in finding an analytic chain $A \subset X \setminus M$ with "boundary" M . More precisely, one wants to find a complex analytic subset $A \subset X \setminus M$ such that $\partial[A] = [M]$ in the sense of currents. The necessary condition on M for complex Plateau problem to have a solution is the maximal complexity of M , i.e. M should be a CR -submanifold of X of $\dim_{CR} M = p$, where $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2p+1$. In the case when X is Stein this is also sufficient, see [H]. Already in the case $X = \mathbb{CP}^3$ the maximal complexity of the "contour" M is not sufficient any more, [Db]. We send the interested reader to [Db-H] for an extensive exposition on the Plateau problem in projective space.

We shall restrict ourselves in this paper by the strongly pseudoconvex contours M , which are already the boundaries of some abstract complex manifolds. At the same time we shall look for the solutions of complex Plateau problem in more general ambient manifolds.

Let w be a strictly positive, smooth $(1,1)$ -form on the complex manifold X .

Definition 0.1. *We shall say that w is pluriclosed if $dd^c w = 0$.*

A Hermitian metric canonically associated to a such w we shall often also call *pluriclosed*.

Definition 0.2. *We say that a complex space X is disk-convex in dimension k if for any compact $K \subset\subset X$ there is another compact $\hat{K}$ such that for every meromorphic mapping $f: \bar{\Delta}^k \rightarrow X$ with $f(\partial\Delta^k) \subset K$ one has $f(\bar{\Delta}^k) \subset \hat{K}$.*

All compact spaces are of course disk-convex. More generally all k -convex spaces are disk-convex in dimension k .

Definition 0.3. *A maximally complex CR -manifold M we call strongly pseudoconvex if it can be realized as a strongly pseudoconvex hypersurface in some complex manifold.*

If we say that a CR -manifold M is contained in a complex manifold X we mean that some CR -imbedding $M \rightarrow X$ is given.

AMS subject classification: 32 D 15. Key words: meromorphic map, Continuity principle, Hartogs extension theorem, spherical shell, complex Plateau problem.

Theorem. *Let M be a strongly pseudoconvex, maximally complex, compact CR -manifold in a disk-convex complex manifold X carrying a pluriclosed Hermitian metric form. Suppose that M bounds an abstract smooth Stein domain.*

- (a) *If $\dim M \geq 5$ then the complex Plateau problem for $M \subset X$ has a solution.*
- (b) *If $\dim M = 3$ then the complex Plateau problem for $M \subset X$ has a solution iff M is homologous to zero in X .*

Remarks. 1. Let $H^2 := \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} / (z \sim 2z)$ be a Hopf surface. Take M to be an image of a standard unit sphere from $\mathbb{C}^2$ under the natural projection $\pi : \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow H^2$. M is not homologous to zero in H^2 (i.e. it is a spherical shell in H^2 !), so a complex Plato problem has no solution for this M . Note that $w = \frac{i}{2} \frac{dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 + dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2}{\|z\|^2}$ is a pluriclosed hermitian metric form on H^2 .

In the case (a), i.e. when $\dim M \geq 5$ the spherical shells in X are not an obstructions for finding a film with boundary M because we have "enough concavity".

2. Consider a Hopf three-fold $H^3 := \mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\} / (z \sim 2z)$. In this case take a sphere $\mathbb{S}^3$ in a hyperplane $\{z_1 = 0\}$. Its image M under the natural projection will be homologous to zero but will not bound any analytic set in H^3 . The reason here is that H^3 doesn't admits a pluriclosed Hermitian metric.

3. If one doesn't requires strict pseudoconvexity of a "contour" M then counterexamples are known already in $\mathbb{CP}^3$, see [Db].

4. The condition on M to bound an abstract smooth Stein domain is really restrictive in dimension 3, while for higher dimensions one has the Rossi theorem guaranteeing the existence (but in general not smooth) abstract Stein domain with boundary M , see [Rs].

5. When X is Kähler then *any str.ps.convex* $M \subset X$, which bounds an abstract Stein domain, is homologous to zero in X . This follows from the Hartogs-type extension theorem proved [Iv-1].

The proof of the Theorem consists in meromorphic extension of a CR -imbedding of M into X onto the Stein domain bounded by this M . We do it along the levels of appropriate plurisubharmonic Morse exhaustion function, see §§2 and 3.

Table of content

0. Introduction.	pp. 1-2
1. Continuity principle and spherical shells.	pp. 2 - 5
2. Three-dimensional contours.	pp. 5 -8
3. Higher-dimensional contours.	pp. 8 - 9
4. Open questions.	pp. 9 - 10
References.	pp. 10 - 12

1. Continuity principle and spherical shells.

To realise this approach we shall need some resuts on extension of meromorphic mappings into a general complex manifolds (spaces). For the convenience of the reader we collect them in this paragraph.

Put $A^k(r, 1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^k : r < \|z\| < 1\}$ and $A_s^k(r, 1) := \{s\} \times A^k(r, 1)$ for $s \in \Delta^n$. Let $f : \Delta^n \times A^k(r, 1) \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic mapping into a normal complex space X .

Denote by S the set of points $s \in \Delta^n$ such that the restriction $f_s := f|_{A_s^k(r,1)}$ extends meromorphically onto the polydisk $\Delta_s^k := \{s\} \times \Delta^k$.

Theorem 1.1. (*Continuity principle*). *Let $f : \Delta^n \times A^k(r,1) \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic mapping into a normal, disk-convex in dimension k complex space X . Suppose that there is a constant $C_0 < \infty$ and a compact $K \subset X$ such that for s in some subset $S \subset \Delta^n$, which is thick at origin:*

(a) *the restriction $f_s := f|_{A_s^k(r,1)}$ is well defined and extends meromorphically onto the polydisk $\Delta_s^k := \{s\} \times \Delta^k$, and $\text{Vol}(\Gamma_{f_s}) \leq C_0$ for all $s \in S$;*

(b) *$f(\Delta^n \times A^k(r,1)) \subset K$ and $f_s(\Delta^k) \subset K$ for all $s \in S$.*

Then:

1. *If $n = 1$ then there is a neighborhood $U \ni 0$ in Δ such that f extends meromorphically onto $U \times \Delta^k$.*

2. *If $n \geq 2$ and X has bounded cycle geometry in dimension k , then again there is a neighborhood $U \ni 0$ in Δ^n and a meromorphic extension of f onto $U \times \Delta^k$.*

Here Γ_{f_s} denotes the graph of f_s and the volumes are taken with respect to some Hermitian metric h on X and a standart Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{C}^k$. The condition of finiteness clearly doesn't depends on the particular choice of the metrics. As usually saying that a set $S \subset \Delta^n$ is thick at the point z_0 we mean that for any neighborhood $U \ni z_0$ $S \cap U$ is not contained in a proper analytic subset of U .

Denote by $\mathcal{B}_k(X)$ the Barlet space of compact analytic cycles of dimension k in X . This is an analytic space, which has not more then countable number of components.

Definition 1.1. *We say that a complex space X has bounded cycle geometry in dimension k if all connected components of the Barlet space $\mathcal{B}_k(X)$ are compact.*

In other words X has bounded cycle geometry in dimension k if all irreducible components of $\mathcal{B}_k(X)$ are compact and all connected components of $\mathcal{B}_k(X)$ are just the finite unions of irreducible ones. Note again that the property to have bounded cycle geometry doesn't depend on the choice of Hermitian metric.

For the proof of this theorem we refer to [Iv-2]. There also an example is given, showing that in the case $n \geq 2$ some condition on X is needed on the contrary to the case $n = 1$.

There are some cases, occuring in the applications, when the condition of bounded cycle geometry can be dropped. For example one has the following

Proposition 1.2. *Let $f : \Delta^n \times A^k(r,1) \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic map into a normal, disk-convex in dimension k complex space X . Suppose that:*

(1) *for every $s \in \Delta^n$ outside of thin set, the restriction f_s extends meromorphically onto Δ_s^k ;*

(2) *there is a compact $K \subset X$ such that $f_s(\Delta_s^k) \subset K$ for all s and $f(\Delta^n \times A^k(r,1)) \subset K$;*

(3) *the volumes of the graphs Γ_{f_s} are uniformly bounded in Δ^n , i.e. there exists $C_0 < \infty$ s.t. $\text{vol}(\Gamma_{f_s}) \leq C_0$ for all s .*

Then f meromorphically extend onto Δ^{n+k} .

For the proof see [Iv-2], Corollary 1.

It is worth, probably to point out one case when the boundedness of cycle geometry is satisfied automatically - when $k = \dim X - 1$. Really the cycle space of divisors is allways compact (provided X is compact).

Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^k(r) &:= \{(z', z'') \in \Delta^{n+k} : 1-r < \|z''\| < 1 \text{ or } \|z'\| < r\} = \\ &= \Delta^n \times A^k(1-r, 1) \cup \Delta^n(r) \times \Delta^k \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

the k -concave Hartogs figure in $\mathbb{C}^{n+k}$.

Definition 1.2. We say that the meromorphic mappings into the space X have the Hartogs-type extension property in bidimension (n, k) if any meromorphic map $f : H_n^k(r) \rightarrow X$ extends meromorphically onto Δ^{n+k} .

Definition 1.3. Let us call a Hermitian form w on X plurinegative if $dd^c w \leq 0$.

The class of normal complex spaces admiting plurinegative Hermitian metrik form we shall denote by $\mathcal{P}_-$.

Theorem 1.3. Let $f : H_n^1(r) \rightarrow X$ be a meromorphic map into a disk-convex complex space X which admits a plurinegative Hermitian metrik form. Then:

(1) f extends to a meromorphic map $\hat{f} : \Delta^{n+1} \setminus A \rightarrow X$, where A is closed $(n-1)$ -polar subset of Δ^{n+1} .

(2) If moreover, w is pluriclosed then A is a analytic subvariety of Δ^{n+1} of pure codimension two (may be empty). If $A \neq \emptyset$ then for every sphere $\mathbb{S}^3$ embedded into $\Delta^{n+1} \setminus A$ in such a way that $[\mathbb{S}^3] \neq 0$ in $H_3(\Delta^{n+1} \setminus A, \mathbb{Z})$, its image $f(\mathbb{S}^3)$ also is not homologous to zero in X .

Remarks. 1. One can estimate the number of irreducible components of the singularity set A in this theorem meating a compact subset $P \subset\subset \Delta^{n+1}$. Namely, let a compact $K \subset X$, which contains $cl[f(P \setminus S)]$, is chosen to be a finite subcomplex of CW - complex X . Choose a point $z' \in \Delta^{n-1}$ such that A intersects $\Delta_z^2 := \{z\} \times \Delta^2$ by discrete set $A_{z'}$. Let $A_{z'} \cap \partial P = \emptyset$. Then

$$|A_{z'} \cap P| \leq \left| \int_{\partial(P \cap \Delta_{z'}^2)} d^c w \right| \cdot [\inf \{ \left| \int_{\gamma} d^c w \right| : \gamma \in H_3(K, \mathbb{Z}), \int_{\gamma} d^c w \neq 0 \}]^{-1}. \quad (1.2)$$

In other words the number of branches of singular set (and moreover, their existence) is bounded by the differential geometry of X . Remark that the subset $\{ \left| \int_{\gamma} d^c w \right| : \gamma \in H_3(K, \mathbb{Z}), \int_{\gamma} d^c w \neq 0 \} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is separated from zero, see (2.2.14) in [Iv-2].

2. Let us call a spherical shell of dimension $k+1$ in complex space X an image Σ of the standard sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2k+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ under the meromorphic map of some neighborhood of $\mathbb{S}^{2k+1}$ into X , such that Σ is not homologous to zero in X . This notion is close to the notion of the global spherical shell, introduced by Kato, see [Ka-3]. Thus we obtain the following

Corollary 1.4. Let X be a disk-convex complex space which possess a pluriclosed Hermitian metric form. Then the following is equivalent:

(a) X possesses a meromorphic extension property in bidimension $(n, 1)$ for all $n \geq 1$,

and thus in all bidimensions (n, k) .

(b) X contains no two-dimensional spherical shells.

3. A wide class of complex manifolds without two-dimensional spherical shells is for example a class of such manifolds X for which the Hurewicz homomorphism $\pi_3(X) \rightarrow H_3(X, \mathbb{Z})$ vanishes.

In the proof of the results listed in this paragraph, as well as for the solution of the complex Plateau problem in this paper, we use the following lemma. Consider a meromorphic mapping $f : \Delta^p \times \Delta^q(a) \rightarrow X$ into a complex space X . Here $\Delta^q(a)$ is a polydisk in $\mathbb{C}^q$ of radii a , $\Delta^q(1) = \Delta^q$. Let S be some closed subset of Δ^p and $s_0 \in S$. Suppose that for each $s \in S$ the restriction $f_s := f|_{\{s\} \times \Delta^q(a)}$ is well defined and meromorphically extends onto a q -disk $\Delta^q(b)$, $b > a$. Denote by $\nu_j = \nu_j(K)$ the minima of volumes of j -dimensional compact analytic subsets contained in some compact $K \subset X$, see Lemma 2.3.1 from [Iv-3]. Fix some $a < c < b$. Put

$$\nu = \min\{\text{vol}(A_{q-j} \cdot \nu_j : j = 1, \dots, q)\}, \quad (1.3)$$

where A_{q_j} are running over all $(q-j)$ -dimensional analytic subsets of $\Delta^q(b)$, intersecting $\Delta^q(c)$.

Lemma 1.5. *Suppose that there exists a neighbourhood $U \ni s_0$ in Δ^p such that for all $s_1, s_2 \in S \cap U$*

$$|\text{vol}(\Gamma_{f_{s_1}}) - \text{vol}(\Gamma_{f_{s_2}})| < \nu/2, \quad (1.4)$$

and that s_0 is a locally regular point of S . Then there exists a neighbourhood $V_c \ni s_0$ in Δ^p , such that f meromorphically extends onto $V_c \times \Delta^q(c)$.

For the proof see [Iv-3], Lemma 2.4.1.

2. Three-dimensional contours.

We shall prove in this paragraph the part (b) of our *Theorem* from *Introduction*.

We suppose that M bounds an abstract smooth Stein domain, i.e. there is a complex manifold D with boundary M such that $D \setminus M$ is Stein, and that a CR -imbedding $f : M \rightarrow X$ is given. All that we need to prove is that f extends meromorphically onto D . Clearly we can suppose that f is already holomorphically extended to some neighborhood of M in D .

Proposition 2.1. *Let (D, M) be as above and suppose additionally that $\dim M = 3$.*

(a) *Then any CR -map $f : M \rightarrow X$, where X is a disk-convex complex space admitting a pluriclosed Hermitian metric form, extends meromorphically onto $D \setminus S$. Here S is a finite subset of D .*

(b) *If $f(M)$ is homologous to zero in X , or if X doesn't contain spherical shells, then S is empty.*

Proof. Let $\rho : D \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a strictly plurisubharmonic (and thus Morse) exhausting function. Denote by $D_\varepsilon^+ = \{z \in D : \rho(z) > \varepsilon\}$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the set of such ε that f can be meromorphically extended onto $D_\varepsilon^+ \setminus S_\varepsilon$, where S_ε is a discrete set. $\mathcal{E}$ is obviously closed and nonempty. All we need to prove is that $\mathcal{E}$ is open.

Let $\varepsilon_0 = \inf\{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}\}$. If ε_0 is a regular value of ρ then the needed result immediately follows from part (2) of *Theorem 1.3*.

Consider the case of not regular value ε_0 of ρ . Denote by $M_{\varepsilon_0} = \{z : \rho(z) = \varepsilon_0\}$ -the critical level set. Fix a critical point $z_0 \in M_{\varepsilon_0}$. All we need to prove is that for any neighborhood W of z_0 the envelope of holomorphy of $W \cap D_{\varepsilon}^+$ contains some neighborhood of z_0 . For convenience we can suppose that $z_0 = 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 = 0$. Write

$$\rho(z) = Q(z) + \langle z, z \rangle + \bar{Q}(z) + O(\|z\|^3), \quad (2.1)$$

where $Q(z)$ is a holomorphic polynomial, $\langle z, z \rangle$ - Hermitian form - Levi form of ρ . By linear coordinate change we transform $\langle, \rangle$ to the sum of squares of absolute values. Then by unitary coordinate change we transform Q to the some of squares with real nonnegative coefficients. Now (2.1) has a form

$$\rho(z) = \sum_{j=1}^p a_j z_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^p a_j \bar{z}_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2 + O(\|z\|^3). \quad (2.2)$$

In coordinates $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ we rewrite (2.2) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z) &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^p a_j (x_j^2 - y_j^2) + \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j^2 + y_j^2) + O(\|z\|^3) = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^p [(1 + 2a_j)x_j^2 + (1 - 2a_j)y_j^2] + \sum_{j=p+1}^n (x_j^2 + y_j^2) + O(\|z\|^3). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Renumerate the coordinates in such a way that $a_j \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for $j = 1, \dots, q$ and $a_j < 1/2$ for $j = q+1, \dots, p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z) &\geq \sum_{j=1}^q [(2a_j + 1)x_j^2 - (2a_j - 1)y_j^2] + \delta \cdot \sum_{j=q+1}^p |z_j|^2 + O(\|z\|^3) \geq \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^q [(2a_j - \delta_1 + 1)x_j^2 - (2a_j + \delta_1 - 1)y_j^2] + \delta \cdot \sum_{j=q+1}^p |z_j|^2 := \rho_1(z), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

for some $\delta > 0$ and δ_1 can be chosen arbitrarily small for small $\|z\|$. While obviously $D^+ := \{z \in \mathbb{B}^n : \rho_1(z) > 0\} \subset D_{\varepsilon_0}^+$, all we need is to prove the following

Lemma 2.2. The envelope of holomorphy of D^+ contains the origin.

Proof. Consider two cases.

Case 1: $q \leq n - 1$. In this case D^+ contains the following Hartogs figure:

$$H := \{z \in \mathbb{B}^n : \sum_{j=1}^q [(2a_j - \delta_1 + 1)x_j^2 - (2a_j + \delta_1 - 1)y_j^2] > 0, \delta \cdot \sum_{j=q+1}^n |z_j|^2 < 1\}$$

or

$$\sum_{j=1}^q (2a_j - \delta_1 + 1)x_j^2 - (2a_j + \delta_1 - 1)y_j^2 > -\varepsilon, \delta \cdot \sum_{j=q+1}^n |z_j|^2 > \varepsilon\}.$$

The envelope of holomorphy of H obviously contains the origin.

Case 2: $q = n$. In this case

$$D^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{B}^n : \sum_{j=1}^n [(2a_j - \delta_1 + 1)x_j^2 - (2a_j + \delta_1 - 1)y_j^2] > 0\}. \quad (2.5)$$

Put $b_j = 2a_j - \delta_1 + 1$, $c_j = 2a_j + \delta_1 - 1$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. For small $\delta - 1$, $b_j > c_j$. Write (2.5.5) in the form

$$D^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{B}^n : \sum_{j=1}^n b_j x_j^2 > \sum_{j=1}^n c_j y_j^2\}. \quad (2.6)$$

In the new coordinates $z_j \rightarrow \sqrt{b_j} z_j$ (2.6) take a form

$$D^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{B}^n : \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 > \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j y_j^2\}, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\delta_j = \frac{c_j}{b_j} < 1$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Put $\delta_0 := \max\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n\} < 1$. Then

$$D^+ \supset D_1^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{B}^n : \|x\|^2 > \delta_0 \cdot \|y\|^2\}. \quad (2.8)$$

The set D_1^+ contains clearly the following complete "tube torus"

$$T = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|x\| = 1, \|y\| \leq 1/\delta_0\}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $1/\delta_0 := \eta > 1$. We shall prove that already the envelope of holomorphy of T contains the origin. For this consider the following continuous family of complex hypersurfaces

$$C_t = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : z_1^2 + \dots + z_n^2 = t\} \quad (2.10)$$

or

$$C_t = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2 = t, (x, y) = 0\}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $(x, y) = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n$. Consider the intersections of C_t with a ball of radii $1 + \eta^2$:

$$\tilde{C}_t = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{B}_{1+\eta^2}^n : \|x\| - \|y\| = t, (x, y) = 0\}. \quad (2.12)$$

This is a continuous family of irreducible analytic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{B}_{1+\eta}^n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_{1+\eta^2} &= \{x + iy \in \mathbb{B}_{1+\eta^2}^n : \|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2 = 1 + \eta^2, (x, y) = 0\} = \\ &= \{x + iy \in \mathbb{B}_{1+\eta^2}^n : \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 = 1 + \eta^2 = \|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2, (x, y) = 0\} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\{x + iy \in \mathbb{B}_{1+\eta^2}^n : \|x\|^2 = 1 + \eta^2, y = 0\} \subset T,$$

but $\tilde{M}_0 \ni 0$. By continuity principle the envelope of holomorphy of T contains the origin. q.e.d

End of the proof.

So, as in the case of regular value, we can extend our map f meromorphically to the neighborhood of the critical level M_{ε_0} minus discrete set. As a result we obtain the extension $\hat{f}$ of our map onto $\bar{D} \setminus S$ where S is a finite subset of $\bar{D}$ not intersecting $M = \partial D$. If we put $T := f^*w$ then $dd^c \tilde{T}$ is nonpositive measure supported on S , see Lemma 2.6.1 from [Iv-2]. We have

$$\int_S dd^c \tilde{T} = \int_D dd^c \tilde{T} = \int_{\partial D} d^c T = \int_{f(\partial D)} d^c w = \int_M d^c w = 0,$$

if M is homologous to zero in X , or if X doesn't contain spherical shells.

Part (b) of the Theorem is proved.

3. Higher-dimensional contours.

We shall prove now the part (a) of our *Theorem* from *Introduction*. In the proof we shall need the following statement. Let S be a closed subset in the product of two balls $W = B^{n-1} \times B^2$ such that $S \cap (\overline{B^{n-1}} \times \partial B^2) = \emptyset$. For $z' \in B^{n-1}$ denote $B_{z'}^2 := \{z'\} \times B^2$. Let a meromorphic mapping mapping $f : W \setminus S \rightarrow X$ is given, where X carries a pluriclosed metric form w . Suppose that f is holomorphic in the neighborhood of $\overline{B^{n-1}} \times \partial B^2$. Denote by T the preimage of w by f and suppose that T admits a trivial extension $\tilde{T}$ onto W .

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that for all $z' \in B^{n-1}$ $f(B_{z'}^2)$ is homologous to zero in X . Then:*

(i) $dd^c \tilde{T} = 0$ in the sense of currents.

(ii) *There is a $(1,0)$ -current γ on W , smooth in the neighbourhood of $\overline{B^{n-1}} \times \partial B^2$, such that $\tilde{T} = i(\partial \bar{\gamma} - \bar{\partial} \gamma)$.*

For the proof see [Iv-2], Lemma 2.6.2.

Remark that only at the end of the proof in §2 we used the fact that the dimension of D is two. So the following proposition clearly enables us to finish the proof also of part (a) of the *Theorem* i.e for $\dim D \geq 3$.

Proposition 3.2. *Every holomorphic map f from $H_1^n(r)$ into a disk-convex complex space X , which admits a pluriclosed Hermitian metric, extends meromorphically onto Δ^n provided $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. It will be convenient for us simultaneously with the proof of the main statement of the *Proposition* to prove also the following weaker statement. Denote by $A^n(a, b) := \Delta^n(b) \setminus \Delta^n(a)$, for $0 \leq a < b$.

Every holomorphic map $f : A^n(\frac{1}{2}, 1) \rightarrow X$, where X from our Proposition, extends meromorphically onto Δ^n , provided $n \geq 2$ and $f(\partial \Delta_{3/4}^n)$ is homologous to zero in X .

We shall prove both statements by induction on n . For $n = 2$ the second statement follows directly from *Theorem 1.1*. So it is sufficient to prove that for any $n \geq 2$ from the second statement follows the statement of *Proposition* for this n .

So let a holomorphic mapping $f : H_1^n(r) \rightarrow X$ is given. For every $z \in \Delta$ restriction f_z of f onto $\Delta_z^n := \{z\} \times \Delta^n$ is holomorphic on $A^n(r, 1)$. So, by the assumption f_z meromorphically extends onto Δ^n , because $f(\partial\Delta_z^n) \sim f(\partial\Delta_0^n) \sim 0$ in X ! Lemma 1.5 immediately gives us (after shrinking Δ^{n+1} and taking different bends of $z_2, \dots, z_{n+1}$) the meromorphic extension of f onto $\Delta^{n+1} \setminus S$. Where S is zerodimensional pluripolar compact in Δ^{n+1} .

Because $I(f)$ is an analytic set of positive dimension outside of zerodimensional set, $\overline{I(f)}$ is analytic in $\Delta^{n+1} \setminus H_1^n$, and thus empty. So the fundamental set of f is discrete in $\Delta^{n+1} \setminus S$.

Put $T := f^*w$, where w is pluriclosed metric form on X . T has locally summable coefficients in Δ^{n+1} and its trivial extension $\tilde{T}$ is plurinegative with $dd^c\tilde{T}$ supported on S . Observe that $\tilde{T} = T$ is pluriclosed outside of S .

Lemma 3.1 tells us that $dd^c\tilde{T} = 0$ and moreover there is a $(1, 0)$ -current γ in any given ball $W \subset \Delta^{n+1}$, $\partial W \cap S = \emptyset$, smooth on $W \setminus S$, such that $\tilde{T} = i(\partial\bar{\gamma} - \bar{\partial}\gamma)$. Remark that the conditions of *Lemma 3.1* are satisfied, because S is zerodimensional and $n+1 \geq 3$. All that remained is to repeat the arguments from the proof of *Lemma 2.6.3* to estimate the volume of the graph of f in the neighborhood of S . Namely

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Vol}(\Gamma_{f|_{W \setminus S}}) &= \int_{W \setminus S} (T + dd^c\|z\|^2)^{n+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} C_{n+1}^j \int_{W \setminus S} T^j \wedge (dd^c\|z'\|^2)^{n+1-j} \leq \\
&\leq C \cdot \int_{W \setminus S} T^j \wedge (dd^c\|z'\|^2)^{n+1-j} = C \cdot \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{W \setminus S} \tilde{T}_\varepsilon^j \wedge (dd^c\|z'\|^2)^{n+1-j} \leq \\
&\leq C \cdot \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_W \tilde{T}_\varepsilon^j \wedge (dd^c\|z'\|^2)^{n+1-j} = C \cdot \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_W (\partial\bar{\gamma}_\varepsilon^{1,0} + \bar{\partial}\gamma_\varepsilon^{1,0})^j \wedge (dd^c\|z'\|^2)^{n+1-j} = \\
&= C \cdot \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_W (d(\bar{\gamma}_\varepsilon^{1,0} + \gamma_\varepsilon^{1,0}))^j \wedge (dd^c\|z'\|^2)^{n+1-j} = \\
&= C \cdot \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{\partial W} (\bar{\gamma}_\varepsilon^{1,0} + \gamma_\varepsilon^{1,0}) \wedge d(\bar{\gamma}_\varepsilon^{1,0} + \gamma_\varepsilon^{1,0})^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\|z'\|^2)^{n+1-j} = \\
&= C \cdot \int_{\partial W} (\bar{\gamma}^{1,0} + \gamma^{1,0}) \wedge d(\bar{\gamma}^{1,0} + \gamma^{1,0})^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\|z'\|^2)^{n+1-j} < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

From Bishop theorem we get an extension of the graph of f onto Δ^{n+1} .

q.e.d.

4. Open questions.

Let us propose some open questions arising naturally from the exposition. Our point of depart will be the following observation due to Gauduchon:

every compact complex manifold of dimension $k+1$ carries a Hermitian metric form w with $dd^c w^k = 0$.

Really, the condition to carry dd^c -closed strictly positive (k, k) -form for a compact complex manifold is alternative to that of carrying a bidimension $(k+1, k+1)$ -current T with $dd^c T \geq 0$ but $\neq 0$. This in the case of $\dim X = k+1$ is a nonconstant plurisubharmonic function, which on compact X doesn't exist. In fact in [Gd] a stronger statement was proved, but we shall not need it here.

Let us introduce the class $\mathcal{G}_k$ of normal complex spaces, carrying a nondegenerate positive dd^c -closed strictly positive (k, k) -forms. Note that the sequence $\{\mathcal{G}_k\}$ is rather exhaustive: $\mathcal{G}_k$ contains all compact complex manifolds of dimension $k+1$.

Note also that compact spaces from $\mathcal{G}_k$ have bounded cycle geometry in dimension k , see 1.4 from [Iv-2]. We conjecture that meromorphic mappings into the spaces of class $\mathcal{G}_k$ are "almost Hartogs-extendable" in bidimension (n, k) for all $n \geq 1$:

Conjecture 1. Every meromorphic map $f : H_n^k(r) \rightarrow X$, where $X \in \mathcal{G}_k$ and is disk-convex in dimension k , extends to a meromorphic map from $\Delta^{n+k} \setminus A$ to X , where A is an analytic subvariety of Δ^{n+k} (may be empty) of pure codimension $k+1$. Moreover, if $A \neq \emptyset$, then for every sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2k+1}$ embedded into $\Delta^{n+k} \setminus A$ in such a way that $[\mathbb{S}^{2k+1}] \neq 0$ in $H_{2k+1}(\Delta^{n+k} \setminus A, \mathbb{Z})$, $f(\mathbb{S}^{2k+1})$ also is not homologous to zero in X .

In [Iv-2] this conjecture is proved for the case $k = 1$.

Conjecture 2. Let M be a strictly pseudoconvex, compact contour of real dimension $2k+1$ in the k -disk-convex complex manifold $X \in \mathcal{G}_k$, $k \geq 2$. Then the Plateau problem for M has solution iff M is homologous to zero in X .

This conjecture would follow from the first one, but is probably easier.

Conjecture 3. Let M be a strictly pseudoconvex, compact, three dimensional contour in compact manifold X . Prove that M bounds an abstract Stein domain.

References.

- [Db] DOLBEAULT P.: *Geometric measure theory and the calculus of variations. Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **44**, 171-205, (1986).
- [DI-H] DOLBEAULT P., HENKIN G.: *Surfaces de Riemann de bord donne dans $\mathbb{CP}^n$. In Contribution to complex analysis and analytic geometry, ed. by H. Skoda et al. Vieweg, Aspects Math. E 26, 163-187 (1994).*
- [Ga] GAUDUCHON P.: *Les metriques standard d'une surface a premier nombre de Betti pair. Asterisque. Soc. Math. France.* **126**, 129-135, (1985).

- [H] HARVEY R.: *Holomorphic chains and their boundaries. Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **30**, Part I, 307-382 (1977).
- [H-L] HARVEY R., LAWSON H.: *An intrinsic characterisation of Kähler manifolds. Invent. math.* **74**, 169-198, (1983).
- [Iv-1] IVASHKOVICH S.: *The Hartogs-type extension theorem for the meromorphic maps into compact Kähler manifolds. Invent. math.* **109** , 47-54, (1992).
- [Iv-2] IVASHKOVICH S.: *Continuity principle and extension properties of meromorphic mappings with values in non Kähler manifolds. MSRI Preprint No. 1997-033.*
- [Iv-3] IVASHKOVICH S.: *One example in concern with extension and separate analyticity properties of meromorphic mappings. Preprint (1994), to appear in Amer.J.Math.*
- [Ka-1] KATO M.: *Examples on an Extension Problem of Holomorphic Maps and Holomorphic 1-Dimensional Foliations. Tokyo Journal Math.* **13**, n 1, 139-146, (1990).
- [Ka-2] KATO M.: *Compact quotient manifolds of domains in a complex 3-dimensional projective space and the Lebesgue measure of limit sets. Tokyo Journal Math.* **19**, 99-119 (1996).
- [Ka-3] KATO M.: *Compact complex manifolds containing "global" spherical shells I. Proc. Intl. Symp. Algebraic Geometry, Kyoto, 45-84 (1977).*
- [Kl] KLIMEK M.: *Pluripotential theory. London. Math. Soc. Monographs , New Series 6, (1991).*
- [Lg] LELONG P.: *Plurisubharmonic Functions and Positive Differential Forms. Gordon and Breach. New-York. (1969) 78 p.*
- [Lv] LEVI E.: *Studii sui punti singolari essenziali delle funzioni analitiche di due o più variabili complesse. Annali di Mat. pura ed appl.* **17**, n 3, 61-87 (1910).
- [Re] REMMERT R.: *Holomorphe und meromorphe Abbildungen komplexer Räume. Math. Ann.* **133**, 328-370, (1957).
- [Rs] ROSSI H.: *Attaching analytic spaces to an analytic space along a pseudoconvex boundary. Proc. Conf. Complex Analysis, Monneapolis, Springer-Verlag, 242-256 (1965).*

Université de Lille-I
U.F.R. de Mathématiques
Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex
59655 France

ivachkov@gat.univ-lille1.fr

*IAPMM Acad Sci. of Ukraine
Naukova 3/b, 290053 Lviv
Ukraine*